**Final Project Report Grading and Feedback**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Student name: | Asis RAI |
| Supervisor | Dianabasi Nkantah |

## **COMPONENT Grades**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **OVERALL MEETING OF OBJECTIVES IN RELATION TO RESEARCH QUESTION** | **13/20** |
| *Feedback. Please comment on:*  The appropriateness of the project to the degree programme – the scope and level of challenge of the project – The degree to which the project answers the research question and meets its objectives – The degree of originality and creativity – The overall academic rigour of the project execution. |  |
| **Comments:**  Project topic – “Facial Recognition System to automatically record Attendance of Coventry University Students.” The project is appropriate to the Computer Science course and presented a high level of challenge. The project execution was rigorous. The project could be enhanced by carefully considering how the capture of multiple faces could be achieved. | |
| **RESEARCH METHODS & LITERATURE REVIEW WITH DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS** | **26/40** |
| *Feedback. Please comment on:*   * *The suitability of the Primary* Research Method(s) chosen. The execution of the primary research method. * Literature review. The comprehensive of sources and materials used - The range, relevance and recentness of sourcing correctly cited - The level of critical appraisal of literature – The explanation of the key concepts and appropriate conclusions in relation to the project. * Analysis of findings. The extrapolation of facts and/or statistics – The appropriateness of conclusions drawn from both primary and secondary methods. The development of novel insights or outputs. The presentation of information in a clear and comprehensive manner. * Research – Demonstration of a wide range of reading and research for the project through analytical and reflective discussion in the dissertation. This should be supported by a varied use of in-text citation (CU Harvard Referencing or Harvard Referencing). |  |
| **Comments:** The prototype software development model is suitable for the primary research. This model was chosen, with justification after the consideration of several software development models.  It would be useful to provide more details of the Requirement gathering process. Details of the algorithms implemented would be useful.  System has been well tested and evaluated.  Various attendance systems have been reviewed. References should be cited for all the systems being reviewed. Comprehensive information has been provided on Face Recognition techniques.  A wide range of sources and materials have been used. It would be useful to present a more in-depth critical analysis of the published work discussed.  Recommendations have been proffered for future work. | |
| **CONDUCT OF PROJECT, PROJECT MANAGEMENT, DEGREE OF REFLECTION AND RESPONSE TO SUPERVISION, CONSIDERATION OF SOCIAL LEGAL AND PROFESSIONAL ISSUES. REFLECTION ON PRESENTATION** | **21/30** |
| Feedback. Please comment on:   * The student’s critical evaluation of project conduct – The appropriateness of methods employed to manage the project with lessons learnt and effectiveness of the experience - Evidence of project management (meeting logs / diary) – Evidence of planning and time management - The consideration of social, professional, legal and ethical issues in relation to the project. * Evidence of a project management methodology as well as approach to the development of any website/application etc…for example: Scrum, Iterative Project Lifecycle. * Evidence of presentation of progress to supervisor (during last two weeks of spring term) Evidence that feedback at the presentation has been captured by the student and that the report responds to and reflects on this feedback. |  |
| **Comments:**  The conduct of the project has been critically evaluated. Project Management artefacts (including project plans, risk assessment and meeting records) used have been presented and discussed.  Social, legal and ethical issues have been well considered – more elaborate consideration of these would be useful.  There is evidence of project presentation. Feedback from the presentation was recorded and acted on. | |
| **REPORT STRUCTURE AND CLARITY OF COMMUNICATION** | **8/10** |
| Feedback. Please comment on:   * The clarity, conciseness and ease of use of the project report. Overall spelling, grammar, use of Harvard citation and referencing. Logical selection and ordering of chapters. The completeness and presentation of the report, appropriate use of diagrams, charts, figures etc. |  |
| **Comments:**  A very well structured and clear report. It would also help to write in the third person throughout the report. The numbering of the first two pages is out of line with the rest of the report. | |
| **Total** | **68/100** |